

R E S O L U T I O N

WHEREAS, Parvez and Birjees Shah are the owners of a 9.37-acre parcel of land known as Parcel 1, said property being in the 14th Election District of Prince George's County, Maryland, and being zoned I-1; and

WHEREAS, on December 3, 2003, Cambridge Development Group filed an application for approval of a Preliminary Subdivision Plan (Staff Exhibit #1) for one parcel; and

WHEREAS, the application for approval of the aforesaid Preliminary Subdivision Plan, also known as Preliminary Plan 4-03117 for Naco Site was presented to the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission by the staff of the Commission on March 18, 2004, for its review and action in accordance with Article 28, Section 7-116, Annotated Code of Maryland and the Regulations for the Subdivision of Land, Subtitle 24, Prince George's County Code; and

WHEREAS, the staff of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission recommended APPROVAL of the application with conditions; and

WHEREAS, on March 18, 2004, the Prince George's County Planning Board heard testimony and received evidence submitted for the record on the aforesaid application.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to the provisions of Subtitle 24, Prince George's County Code, the Prince George's County Planning Board APPROVED the Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/71/03), and further APPROVED Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-03117 and the Variation to Section 24-130 for Parcel A with the following conditions:

1. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan of subdivision, the plan shall be revised as follows:
 - a. To label the dedication of 35 feet from the center line of Northern Avenue.
 - b. To label the dedication of 40 feet from the center line of Good Luck Road.
2. At the time of detailed site plan, a Type II Tree Conservation Plan shall be approved.
3. An automatic fire suppression system shall be provided in all new buildings proposed in this subdivision, unless the Prince George's County Fire/EMS Department determines that an alternative method of fire suppression is appropriate.
4. Prior to the approval of permits, a detailed site plan shall be approved by the Planning Board or its designee, consistent with the findings of this approval.

5. Development of this property shall be in conformance with the approved Stormwater Management Concept Plan.
6. Prior to the issuance of building permits, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assignees shall provide a financial contribution of \$210.00 to the Department of Public Works and Transportation for the placement of a bikeway sign(s) along Good Luck Road, designated a Class III Bikeway. A note shall be placed on the final plat for payment to be received prior to the issuance of the first building permit. If the Department of Public Works and Transportation declines the signage, this condition shall be void.
7. **MD 193 at MD 564:** Prior to the issuance of any building permits within the subject property, the following road improvements shall have full financial assurances, have been permitted for construction, and have an agreed-upon timetable for construction with DPW&T/SHA:
 - a. Provide dual left-turn lanes along the northbound and southbound approaches of MD 193.
 - b. Provide a dual left-turn lane along the eastbound approach of MD 564.
 - c. The above improvements shall be waived with the provision of the following documentation at the time of any building permit: a statement, on federal letterhead, of occupancy of development on the site by a federal agency, with details of staffing, including number of employees and work hours. In the event that the facilities are no longer occupied by a federal agency, or it is deemed that the federal agency will have work shifts that would affect the peak hours of 7:45 to 8:45 A.M. or 5:00 to 6:00 P.M., the above improvements shall be required prior to approval of occupancy permit.
8. At the time of final plat approval, the applicant shall dedicate a right-of-way along proposed Good Luck Road of 40 feet from centerline, as shown on the submitted plan. The applicant shall also dedicate a right-of-way along Northern Avenue of 35 feet from centerline, as shown on the submitted plan. DPW&T shall have the authority to determine the scope of improvements within the rights-of-way and adjust the size of the rights-of-way if necessary.
9. Total development within the subject property shall be limited development which generates no more than 125 AM and 123 PM peak-hour vehicle trips. Any development that generates a traffic impact greater than that identified herein above shall require a new preliminary plan of subdivision with a new determination of the adequacy of transportation facilities.
10. Prior to the approval of the initial building permit on the subject property, the applicant shall submit an acceptable traffic signal warrant study to SHA and, if necessary, DPW&T for the intersection of MD 193 and Northern Avenue. The applicant should utilize a new 12-hour count and should analyze signal warrants under total future traffic as well as existing traffic at the direction of SHA. If a signal is deemed warranted by the responsible agency at that time, the

applicant shall bond the signal prior to the release of any building permits within the subject property and install it at a time when directed by the appropriate permitting agency.

11. Development of this subdivision shall be in compliance with an approved Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/71/03). The following note shall be placed on the Final Plat of Subdivision:

“Development is subject to restrictions shown on the approved Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/71/03), or as modified by the Type II Tree Conservation Plan, and precludes any disturbance or installation of any structure within specific areas. Failure to comply will make the owner subject to mitigation under the Woodland Conservation/Tree Preservation Policy.”

12. Prior to the issuance of any permits which impact jurisdictional wetlands, wetland buffers, streams or Waters of the U.S., the applicant shall submit copies of all federal and state wetland permits, evidence that approval conditions have been complied with, and associated mitigation plans.
13. The grading permit package submission shall include a copy of the approved stormwater technical plans so that it can be determined that the limits of disturbance on the TCPII and the approved stormwater technical plans are coterminous.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the findings and reasons for the decision of the Prince George's County Planning Board are as follows:

1. The subdivision, as modified, meets the legal requirements of Subtitles 24 and 27 of the Prince George's County Code and of Article 28, Annotated Code of Maryland.
2. The property is located in the southern quadrant of the intersection of Northern Avenue and Good Luck Road.
3. **Development Data Summary**—The following information relates to the subject preliminary plan application and the proposed development.

	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Zone	I-1	I-1
Use(s)	Vacant	Office/Industrial 143,027 sq.ft
Acreage	9.37	9.37
Parcels	1	1

4. **Environmental**—The site is subject to the Woodland Conservation Ordinance because the site is greater than 40,000 square feet and more than 10,000 square feet of woodland exist. A revised TCPI has been submitted and reviewed. There are no areas of 100-year floodplain that would be subtracted from the gross tract area. Existing woodland at the site totals 9.25 acres. The revised TCPI shows the amount of woodland cleared to be 8.15 acres; this results in a woodland conservation requirement of 3.65 acres. The requirement is proposed to be met through the provision of 0.25 acre of on-site preservation and 3.40 acres of off-site mitigation.

The site contains significant areas of wetlands that have been shown on the plans. The proposed development impacts both areas of wetlands. Neither of the wetlands is connected to other sensitive environmental features.

The plan proposes impacts to two areas of isolated wetlands that consist of 0.78 acre. The total area of proposed wetland impacts and associated buffers is 0.72 acre. A field delineation identified the two noncontiguous wetland areas as Wetlands A and B. Wetland A is located along the northern portion of the site at Northern Avenue and consists of 0.29 acre. Wetland B is in the center of the site and is associated with an existing drainage swale and consists of 0.49 acre. Staff notes the two wetlands are considered to be “isolated” based on their location and lack of connectivity to an adjacent stream or similar features typically found in relation to wetlands.

Impacts to these wetland areas are restricted by Section 24-130 of the Subdivision Regulations unless the Planning Board grants a variation to the Subdivision Regulations in accordance with Section 24-113. Even if approved by the Planning Board, the applicant will need to obtain federal and state permits prior to the issuance of any grading permit. Each variation finding is described individually below. However, for purposes of discussion relating to Section 24-113(a) of the Subdivision Regulations, the impacts were discussed collectively.

Section 24-113(a) of the Subdivision Regulations sets forth the required findings for approval of variation requests. Section 24-113(a) reads:

Where the Planning Board finds that extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties may result from strict compliance with this Subtitle and/or that the purposes of this Subtitle may be served to a greater extent by an alternative proposal, it may approve variations from these Subdivision Regulations so that substantial justice may be done and the public interest secured, provided that such variation shall not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of this Subtitle; and further provided that the Planning Board shall not approve variations unless it shall make findings based upon evidence presented to it in each specific case that:

- (1) The granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public safety, health, or welfare, or injurious to other property;**

The proposed impacts to the areas of isolated wetlands for paving along the backside of the

proposed building for vehicular access and the construction of the building are not detrimental to the public safety, health, or welfare and are not injurious to other property because of the isolated nature of the wetlands on-site. Prior to grading permit issuance, the applicant will be required to obtain state and federal permits for the proposed work. The additional review with a detailed site plan will assure that the impacts are minimized and that impacts to other properties are not included.

(2) The conditions on which the variation is based are unique to the property for which the variation is sought and are not applicable generally to other properties;

This variation request is based on the fact that two areas of isolated wetlands exist, and in order to develop the property, impacts to wetlands are necessary. The isolated location of both wetlands is unique to this property in that wetlands are usually associated with other sensitive environmental features. In this instance, these are isolated wetlands due to a lack of connectivity to other similar environmental features.

(3) The variation does not constitute a violation of any other applicable law, ordinance, or regulation; and

Even if approved by the Planning Board, the applicant must obtain federal and state permits prior to the issuance of any grading permit. As such, there is no violation of other applicable laws.

(4) Because of the particular physical surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of these regulations is carried out;

Because of the isolated wetlands and their location at the site, and because the site is a corner parcel in relation to three existing public rights-of-way (i.e., Good Luck Road, Northern Avenue and Hubble Drive), there are site planning constraints associated with the site. Denying the variation could result in a hardship to the property owner because the developable area of the entire site would be severely constrained. One of the two wetlands occurs in the center of the property, and roadway access issues constrain the available design options.

Staff recommends approval of the variation requests for the proposed impacts.

No streams or 100-year floodplains are present. Nine soil types are associated with the site including some areas with soils having hydric characteristics. Soil series present include Cristina Silt Loam, Galestown-evesboro Loamy Sands, Iuka Sandy Loam (ImA and ImB), Keyport Fine Sandy Loam, Keyport Silt Loam, Sunnyside Fine Sandy Loam and Woodstown Sandy Loam. Of these soil series the Christiana Silt Loam and Keyport Silt Loam both are characteristic of being highly erodible. Marlboro Clays are not present at this location. The site is in the Folly Branch watershed of the Patuxent River basin. There are no significant noise generators or scenic or historic roads in vicinity of the site.

Water and Sewer Categories

The water and sewer service categories are W-4 and S-4 according to water and sewer maps dated June 2003 obtained from the Department of Environmental Resources.

5. **Community Planning**—The subject property is located within the limits of the 1993 *Glenn Dale-Seabrook-Lanham and Vicinity Master Plan*, Planning Area 70, in the Lanham-Severn Road Community, in Employment Area 1. The master plan land use recommendation for the site is for low-intensity research and development. The 2002 General Plan locates the property in the Developing Tier. The vision for the Developing Tier is to maintain a pattern of distinct Commercial Centers and employment areas that are increasingly transit serviceable. The proposed preliminary plan is consistent with the recommendations of the master plan and General Plan.

As discussed in the Finding 14, the master plan provides specific recommendations for the development of this property. The detailed site plan process is necessary to ensure conformance with the recommendations of the master plan relating to screening, buffering, lighting, site access, building height, and location.

6. **Parks and Recreation**—In accordance with Section 24-134 of the Subdivision Regulations, the proposed subdivision is exempt from the requirements of mandatory dedication of parkland because the proposed use is nonresidential.
7. **Trails**—The Adopted and Approved Glenn Dale-Seabrook-Lanham and Vicinity Master Plan recommends that Good Luck Road and Northern Avenue be designated as a Class III bikeways with appropriate signage. Because Good Luck Road and Northern Avenue are county rights-of-way, the applicant should provide a financial contribution for the placement of two signs. One “Share the Road” sign will be placed along each road. A note should be placed on the final record plat for payment to be received prior to the issuance of the first building permit. If road frontage improvements are required by DPW&T, wide asphalt shoulders are encouraged to safely accommodate bicycle traffic.
8. **Transportation**—The transportation staff determined that a traffic study detailing weekday analyses was needed. In response, the applicant submitted a traffic study dated December 2003 that was referred for comment; comments from State Highway Administration (SHA) and the county Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) are attached. The findings and recommendations outlined below are based upon a review of these materials and analyses conducted by the staff of the Transportation Planning Section, consistent with the *Guidelines for the Analysis of the Traffic Impact of Development Proposals*.

Growth Policy - Service Level Standards

The subject property is located within the Developing Tier, as defined in the General Plan for

Prince George’s County. As such, the subject property is evaluated according to the following standards:

Links and signalized intersections: Level-of-Service (LOS) D, with signalized intersections operating at a critical lane volume (CLV) of 1,450 or better. Mitigation, as defined by Section 24-124(a)(6) of the Subdivision Ordinance, is permitted at signalized intersections within any tier subject to meeting the geographical criteria in the guidelines.

Unsignalized intersections: The Highway Capacity Manual procedure for unsignalized intersections is not a true test of adequacy but rather an indicator that further operational studies need to be conducted. Vehicle delay in any movement exceeding 50.0 seconds is deemed to be an unacceptable operating condition at unsignalized intersections. In response to such a finding, the Planning Board has generally recommended that the applicant provide a traffic signal warrant study and install the signal (or other less costly warranted traffic controls) if deemed warranted by the appropriate operating agency.

Analysis of Traffic Impacts

The traffic study for this site examined the site impact at four intersections:

- MD 193/Good Luck Road (signalized)
- MD 193/MD 564 (signalized)
- Good Luck Road/Northern Avenue (unsignalized)
- MD 193/Northern Avenue (unsignalized)

The existing conditions at the study intersections are summarized below:

EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS				
Intersection	Critical Lane Volume (AM & PM)		Level of Service (LOS, AM & PM)	
MD 193 and Good Luck Road	1,319	1,342	D	D
MD 193 and MD 564	1,234	1,338	C	D
Good Luck Road and Northern Avenue	8.9*	9.5*	--	--
MD 193 and Northern Avenue	412.5*	66.8*	--	--
*In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the guidelines, an average vehicle delay exceeding 50.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Values shown as “+999” suggest that the parameters are outside of the normal range of the procedure and should be interpreted as a severe inadequacy. This criterion is applicable to roundabouts as well as standard four-way or three-way intersections.				

The area of background development includes 14 properties in the vicinity of the subject

property. There are no programmed improvements in the county Capital Improvement Program (CIP) or the state Consolidated Transportation Program (CTP). As a part of the relocation of Soil Conservation Road, however, the federal government plans to make improvements to the intersection of MD 193 and Good Luck Road. These improvements would provide a four-lane approach southbound (right-turn, through, and dual left-turn lanes), along with a dual left-turn lane along eastbound MD 193. Background conditions are summarized below:

BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS				
Intersection	Critical Lane Volume		Level of Service	
	(AM & PM)		(LOS, AM & PM)	
MD 193 and Good Luck Road	1,385	1,525	D	E
MD 193 and MD 564	1,521	1,496	E	E
Good Luck Road and Northern Avenue	9.1*	9.5*	--	--
MD 193 and Northern Avenue	+999*	164.2*	--	--

*In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the guidelines, an average vehicle delay exceeding 50.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Values shown as “+999” suggest that the parameters are outside of the normal range of the procedure and should be interpreted as a severe inadequacy. This criterion is applicable to roundabouts as well as standard four-way or three-way intersections.

The site is proposed for development with office/industrial uses. More specifically, the site is proposed for development with a facility of the Federal Aviation Administration. The site is currently proposed to accommodate 192 employees. The traffic study documents specific shifts for all employees that will be housed on this site. Comparing these shift times with the observed peak hours in the area, it was determined that all employees would either arrive on-site or depart outside of the measured peak hours in the area. For that reason, the traffic study concludes that the trip generation for the proposal as it is currently planned would be minimal.

In further reviewing the proposal, however, it was determined that while the property is proposed to be developed to suit the federal agency, it would still remain in private ownership, thereby raising the possibility that another client with more conventional commuting patterns could occupy the site at some time. Therefore, the traffic study analyzes the site as 20 percent office, 25 percent light industrial, and 55 percent warehouse with conventional trip generation rates as a means of determining a generalized site impact. The site under this scenario would generate 125 (106 in, 19 out) AM peak-hour vehicle trips and 123 PM peak-hour vehicle trips. With the trip distribution and assignment as assumed, the following results are obtained under total traffic:

TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS				
Intersection	Critical Lane Volume (AM & PM)		Level of Service (LOS, AM & PM)	
	MD 193 and Good Luck Road	1,385	1,525	D
MD 193 and MD 564	1,521	1,496	E	E
Good Luck Road and Northern Avenue	9.1*	10.2*	--	--
MD 193 and Northern Avenue	+999*	164.2*	--	--

*In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the guidelines, an average vehicle delay exceeding 50.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Values shown as “+999” suggest that the parameters are outside of the normal range of the procedure and should be interpreted as a severe inadequacy. This criterion is applicable to roundabouts as well as standard four-way or three-way intersections.

Given these analyses, three intersections within the study area are shown to operate unacceptably in at least one peak hour. Each of these is discussed further below:

MD 193/Good Luck Road

The traffic study identifies an inadequacy at the signalized intersection of MD 193/Good Luck Road. However, the traffic study does not account for improvements that will be constructed by the federal government at this location, improvements that are in the current Federal CIP. As the federal government has committed to these improvements, there is not a need to make them conditions of approval for the subject property. With the improvements in place, under total traffic the MD 193/Good Luck Road intersection would operate at LOS B during the AM peak hour and LOS D during the PM peak hour.

MD 193/MD 564

The traffic study recommends that dual left-turn lanes be provided on the northbound and southbound approaches of MD 193 and on the eastbound approach of MD 564. These improvements will provide adequate service levels and will be made a condition of approval for the subject site.

MD 193/Northern Avenue

The analysis indicates that this intersection operates unacceptably as an unsignalized intersection. In response to such a finding, the Planning Board has generally recommended that the applicant provide a traffic signal warrant study and install the signal if it is deemed warranted by the appropriate operating agency. The warrant study is, in itself, a more detailed study of the adequacy of the existing unsignalized intersection. The traffic study suggests the presence of a wide median, the influence of adjacent signals, and the relatively light turning volume at this location as reasons not to require further study. In fact, the median does serve as a refuge for turning traffic. There are nearby traffic signals that create gaps for turning traffic. These factors

were observed by staff, and there is little reason, based on field observations, that delays are as considerable as the computations would otherwise suggest. Nonetheless, this is strictly a judgment call, and the numbers do justify the imposition of a condition in this regard.

The final comment regards the proposed use of the site as a federal installation. The applicant has made a case that if the site is used as a facility of the Federal Aviation Administration, it will have a minimal impact on area peak-hour traffic due to the nature of the work shifts that are anticipated. However, if the use occurs as a conventional privately leased flex building, the site will not be subject to the same controls and will have a peak-hour impact. Therefore, while area road improvements will be made a condition of the development of this site, they will be waived with the provision of certain documentation at the time of the initial building permit and any further permits. This documentation shall be provided on federal letterhead and shall detail the staffing (number of employees and hours worked) of the facility. If the parameters are changed, the needed road improvements shall become a responsibility of this applicant.

DPW&T concurred with the results of the study and noted a computational error in the study that was corrected during the staff analysis. SHA concurred with the results of the study.

Based on the preceding findings, adequate transportation facilities would exist to serve the proposed subdivision as required under Section 24-124 of the Prince George's County Code.

9. **Schools**—The Historic Preservation and Public Facilities Planning Section has reviewed this subdivision plan for adequacy of school facilities in accordance with Section 24-122.02 of the Subdivision Regulations and CB-30-2003 and CR-23-2003 and concluded that the subdivision is exempt from APF test for schools because it is a nonresidential use.
10. **Fire and Rescue**—The Historic Preservation and Public Facilities Planning Section has reviewed this subdivision plan for adequacy of fire and rescue facilities and concluded the following:
 - a. The existing fire engine service at West Lanham Hills Fire Station, Company 48, located at 8501 Good Luck Road, has a service travel time of 4.92 minutes, which is beyond the 3.25-minute travel time guideline.
 - b. The existing ambulance service at West Lanham Hills Fire Station, Company 48, located at 8501 Good Luck Road, has a service travel time of 4.92 minutes, which is beyond the 4.25-minute travel time guideline.
 - c. The existing paramedic service at Glenn Dale Fire Station, Company 18, located at 11900 Glenn Dale Boulevard, has a service travel time of 3.97 minutes, which is within the 7.25-minute travel time guideline.
 - d. The existing ladder truck service Glenn Dale Fire Station, Company 18, located at 11900 Glenn Dale Boulevard, has a service travel time of 3.97 minutes, which is within the 4.25-minute travel time guideline.

The existing ambulance service located at West Lanham Hills Fire Station, Company 48, is beyond the recommended travel time guideline. The nearest fire station, Glenn Dale, Company 18, is located at 11900 Glenn Dale Boulevard, which is 3.97 minutes from the development. This facility would be within the recommended travel time for ambulance service if an operational decision to locate this service at that facility were made by the county.

In order to alleviate the negative impact on fire and rescue services due to the inadequate service discussed, an automatic fire suppression system should be provided in all new buildings proposed in this subdivision, unless the Prince George's County Fire/ EMS Department determines that an alternative method of fire suppression is appropriate.

The above findings are in conformance with the standards and guidelines contained in the *Adopted and Approved Public Safety Master Plan 1990* and the *Guidelines for the Analysis of Development Impact on Fire and Rescue Facilities*.

11. **Police Facilities**—The proposed development is within the service area for Police District II-Bowie. The Planning Board's current test for police adequacy is based on a standard for square footage in police stations relative to the number of sworn duty staff assigned. The standard is 115 square feet per officer. As of June 30, 2002, the county had 874 sworn staff and a total of 101,303 square feet of station space. Based on available space, there is capacity for additional 69 sworn personnel. Therefore, in accordance with Section 24-122.01(c) of the Subdivision Regulations of Prince George's County, the existing county police facilities will be adequate to serve the proposed Naco Property development.
12. **Health Department**—The Health Department has no comment.
13. **Stormwater Management**—The Department of Environmental Resources (DER), Development Services Division, has determined that on-site stormwater management is required. A Stormwater Management Concept Plan has been submitted, but not yet approved. To ensure that development of this site does not result in on-site or downstream flooding, this concept plan must be approved prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan. Development must be in accordance with this approved plan.
14. **Detailed Site Plan** —The property is located in the southern quadrant of the intersection of Good Luck Road and Northern Avenue. The majority of the surrounding properties bordered by Good Luck Road, Greenbelt Road and Northern Avenue are zoned I-1, and several are a part of the Glenn Dale Business Campus. The properties abutting to the east and southwest front on Northern Avenue and Good Luck Road. These properties were rezoned from the R-R Zone to the I-1 Zone in 1988 pursuant to the District Council approval of ZMA A-9606-C and are a part of the Glenn Dale Business Campus. An additional property was also rezoned from the R-R Zone to the I-1 Zone in 1989 pursuant to the District Council approval of ZMA A-9721-C, east along Northern Avenue.

Both of the rezoning approvals carried considerable conditions relating to buffering, landscaping, access and circulation, setbacks, building coverage, lighting, and noise. Particular attention to the development of these properties was due to the proximity of these properties to existing residential development. The property to the north across Northern Avenue is residential in character. In both rezoning cases the District Council required the review and approval of a Conceptual Site Plan. The abutting properties are subject to CSP-02002, PGCPB Resolution 02-111.

The subject property was rezoned to the I-1 Zone in 1993 through the Glenn Dale sectional map amendment. The master plan provides specific recommendations for this property. Specifically, the master plan recommends employment land use. It encourages that this property be incorporated into the existing employment to the south instead of developing as an individual, unrelated development. The master plan has the following recommendations that pertain to development in Employment Area 1:

Develop in low intensity research and development uses (R&D).

- a. Incorporate access from the employment area to the south or from Good Luck Road. No access shall be provided from Northern Avenue unless required for public safety purposes.
- b. Screen the employment area where it adjoins Northern Avenue and Good Luck Road. The screening could include fencing (site-tight), plant materials (existing and supplemental), and berming similar to the measures applied to the properties with frontage on Northern Avenue that were rezoned via Zoning Application Nos. A-9543, A-9606, and A-9721. The master plan shows a buffer area along the properties' frontage with Northern Avenue and Good Luck Road. Existing woodlands in the buffer area should be minimally disturbed.
- c. Limit the height of buildings abutting Northern Avenue to two stories. In addition, building setbacks, the exterior appearance of the building, and lighting should be sensitive to the residential development on the north side of Northern Avenue.

To ensure conformance to the master plan recommendations for development of this property, mitigation of possible adverse impacts on the surrounding residential neighborhood, and compatibility with the surrounding industrial development, approval of a detailed site plan (DSP) prior to the approval of permits for this property is required.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that an appeal of the Planning Board's action must be filed with Circuit Court for Prince George's County, Maryland within thirty (30) days following the adoption of this Resolution.

* * * * *

PGCPB No. 04-58
File No. 4-03117
Page 13

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the action taken by the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on the motion of Commissioner Eley, seconded by Commissioner Harley, with Commissioners Eley, Harley, Squire, Vaughns and Hewlett voting in favor of the motion, at its regular meeting held on Thursday, March 18, 2004, in Upper Marlboro, Maryland.

Adopted by the Prince George's County Planning Board this 1st day of April 2004.

Trudye Morgan Johnson
Executive Director

By Frances J. Guertin
Planning Board Administrator

TMJ:FJG:WSC:meg